

FY19 Warfighting Lab Incentive Fund Project Proposal

Background and Instructions

Background: The Deputy Secretary of Defense (DSD) Warfighting Lab Incentive Fund (WLIF) Memo, signed 6 May 2016, established WLIF to support the development and refinement of new Service and Joint concepts of operation (CONOPS). Per the memo, this fund will be used to support field experiments and demonstrations to take concepts from paper to real world execution. Proposals will be evaluated by Joint Staff J-7, J-8, ASD (R&E) and OSD CAPE using the following criteria directed in the DSD memo:

- Potential for disruptive innovation
- Potential to off-set key U.S. vulnerabilities
- Potential for adversary cost imposition
- Potential cost/benefit for DoD
- Amount of funding requested
- Time required to execute and generate results
- Potential for advancing US national interests
- Past performance of requesting organization

Per the DSD Memo, proposals for experimental support may be received from Services, CCMDs, Joint Staff, OSD, Defense Agencies, Federally Funded Research and Development Centers, University Affiliated Research and Development Centers and the Defense Industrial Base (DIB). All project proposals must identify a warfighting sponsor (CCMD, Service and/or Defense Agency) that will be involved in the project experimentation and/or demonstration activity, CONOPS development, and transition to operational capability. If your organization is a member of the DIB, the proposal must be submitted through a partnering warfighting sponsor.

The WLIF has only O&M appropriations. Your organization must be able to receive and apply O&M funds to accomplish WLIF project objectives. All WLIF project proposal periods of performance will not extend beyond a 12 month time line. Prior to submitting your completed project proposal, please verify with your contracting and legal staffs that O&M funds are appropriate and that contract vehicles are available for the duration of the project. For additional information concerning the use of O&M funds please refer to the DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14R Volume 2A Chapter 1, located in the reference folder on the portal.

If a project does not meet the requirements stated above it may be more appropriate for other DOD Incentive funds or programs. Those programs include the Wargaming Incentive Fund, Joint Capability Technology Demonstrations, and Joint Test & Evaluations.

Additional program information and details on approved FY17 and FY18 WLIF projects can be found on the SIPR WLIF portal page located at: <https://intelshare.intelink.sgov.gov/sites/wlif/SitePages/Home.aspx>

Instructions:

1. Completely fill out the WLIF Project Proposal Form. Understand the personnel evaluating the proposals may not be familiar with the details of the topic and/or systems being discussed. Avoid the use of undefined acronyms and “insider terms” as much as possible. **Note that the text field size is deliberately restricted. Supplemental material may be included with proposal submissions.**
2. Route the WLIF Project Proposal Form to obtain necessary organizational digital signatures (Financial POC, Action Officer, O-6 POC).
3. Submit proposals via email addresses(s) NLT 13 April 2018:
 - NIPR: js.dsc.j7.mbx.wlif@mail.mil
 - SIPR: js.dsc.j7.mbx.wlif@mail.smil.mil
 - JWICS: jessica.r.todd@coe.ic.gov
kevin.jones@coe.ic.gov
4. If you have questions please feel free to contact any of the JS J-7 WLIF team at:
 - NIPR: js.dsc.j7.mbx.wlif@mail.mil
 - SIPR: js.dsc.j7.mbx.wlif@mail.smil.mil

FY18 Warfighting Lab Incentive Fund Project Proposal

Project Details

Project Title: *UNCLASSIFIED Short Title (5 words or less) and Long Title*

Short Title:

Long Title:

Focus Areas: *Please provide a list of Tier 1 & 2 Joint Capability Areas (JCAs) that accurately describe the focus of the project. The nine Tier 1 JCAs are provided below. A complete list of all JCAs is available on the WLIF portal in the References folder and is included in the JSAP package as Enclosure 4.*

JCA	Title	Description
1	Force Support	<i>The ability to establish, develop, maintain and manage a mission ready Total Force.</i>
2	Battlespace Awareness	<i>The ability to understand dispositions and intentions as well as the characteristics and conditions of the operational environment that bear on national and military decision-making by leveraging all sources of information to include Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, Meteorological, and Oceanographic.</i>
3	Force Application	<i>The ability to integrate the use of maneuver and engagement in all environments to create the effects necessary to achieve mission objectives.</i>
4	Logistics	<i>The ability to project and sustain a ready joint force through the deliberate sharing of national and multi-national resources to effectively support operations, extend operational reach, and provide the joint force commander the freedom of action necessary to meet mission objectives.</i>
5	Command & Control	<i>The ability to exercise authority and direction by a properly designated commander or decision maker over assigned and attached forces and resources in the accomplishment of the mission.</i>
6	Communications & Computers	<i>The ability to provide a framework for full human and technical connectivity and interoperability that allows all DOD users and mission partners to share the information they need, when they need it, in a form they can understand and act on with confidence,</i>
7	Protection	<i>The ability to prevent/mitigate adverse effects of attacks on personnel (combatant/non-combatant) and physical assets of the United States, allies and friends.</i>
8	Building Partnerships	<i>The ability to interact with partner, competitor or adversary leaders, security institutions, or relevant populations by developing and presenting information and conducting activities to affect their perceptions, will, behavior, and capabilities in order to build effective, legitimate, interoperable, and self-sustaining strategic partners.</i>
9	Corporate Management & Support	<i>The ability to provide strategic senior level, enterprise-wide leadership, direction, coordination, and oversight through a chief management officer function.</i>

Lead Organization:

Participating DoD Organizations:

Other Participating Organizations:

Warfighting Sponsor: *For the purpose of the Warfighting Lab Incentive Fund, CCMDs, Services and Defense Agencies are considered to be warfighting sponsors. If the lead organization is not a warfighting sponsor, please identify the warfighting sponsor that will be involved in the project experimentation and/or demonstration activity, CONOPS development, and transition to operational capability. Please provide a POC, along with phone number and email address.*

Problem Statement: *What warfighting problem will this project address? Please reference a Annual Joint Assessment (AJA) problem, Chairman's Gap Assessment (CGA), Guidance for Employment of the Force (GEF) Task, or Joint Strategic Capabilities Plan (JSCP) Task, if possible.*

Project Objectives: *What are you trying to accomplish? What is new in your approach? What are the materiel/non-materiel changes the project will generate?*

Describe the Proposed Experiment or Demonstration:

End Products: *(CONOPS, TTPs, Engineering Change Proposal (ECP), etc.)*

Related Efforts: *What are the ongoing/planned initiatives, e.g. JCTDs, JT&Es, Strategic Capabilities Office projects, etc., which are related to the proposed project? Please describe the relationship between the related effort and the project you are proposing.*

Project Timeline: *Please provide an estimated timeline for the major milestones within the field experiment or demonstration.*

Transition Plan & Timeline: *What is the transition plan for the proposed project end products? What is the estimated timeline for the capability to become operational?*

Funding Mechanisms for O&M: *To expedite project start, please verify that your organization is: (1) able to receive O&M MIPRs and (2) has an appropriate contract vehicle to obligate and execute the transferred funds expeditiously. Please have your organizations financial POC verify by signing below.*

Project Financial POC:

Name:

Phone number: NIPR

email:

SIPR email:

JWICS email:

Digital Signature

Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs): Please provide the TRLs for all the major material components of the proposed project as defined in the DoD Technology Readiness Assessment (TRA) Guidance of April 2011. TRLs can serve as a helpful knowledge-based standard and shorthand for evaluating technology maturity. The TRL definitions and descriptions are provided below:

TRL	Definition	Description
1	Basic principles observed and reported.	Lowest level of technology readiness. Scientific research begins to be translated into applied research and development (R&D). Examples might include paper studies of a technology's basic properties.
2	Technology concept and/or application formulated.	Invention begins. Once basic principles are observed, practical applications can be invented. Applications are speculative, and there may be no proof or detailed analysis to support the assumptions. Examples are limited to analytic studies.
3	Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept.	Active R&D is initiated. This includes analytical studies and laboratory studies to physically validate the analytical predictions of separate elements of the technology. Examples include components that are not yet integrated or representative.
4	Component and/or breadboard validation in a laboratory environment.	Basic technological components are integrated to establish that they will work together. This is relatively "low fidelity" compared with the eventual system. Examples include integration of "ad hoc" hardware in the laboratory.
5	Component and/or breadboard validation in a relevant environment.	Fidelity of breadboard technology increases significantly. The basic technological components are integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements so they can be tested in a simulated environment. Examples include "high-fidelity" laboratory integration of components.
6	System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment.	Representative model or prototype system, which is well beyond that of TRL 5, is tested in a relevant environment. Represents a major step up in a technology's demonstrated readiness. Examples include testing a prototype in a high-fidelity laboratory environment or in a simulated operational environment.
7	System prototype demonstration in an operational environment.	Prototype near or at planned operational system. Represents a major step up from TRL 6 by requiring demonstration of an actual system prototype in an operational environment (e.g., in an air-craft, in a vehicle, or in space).
8	Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstration.	Technology has been proven to work in its final form and under expected conditions. In almost all cases, this TRL represents the end of true system development. Examples include developmental test and evaluation (DT&E) of the system in its intended weapon system to determine if it meets design specifications.
9	Actual system proven through successful mission operations.	Actual application of the technology in its final form and under mission conditions, such as those encountered in operational test and evaluation (OT&E). Examples include using the system under operational mission conditions.

FY19 Warfighting Lab Incentive Fund Project Proposal Evaluation Criteria

1. Is there potential for **disruptive innovation** that replaces or eliminates a leading technology, method or organization as a result of executing this project? If so, please describe.
2. Is there potential for this project to contribute to **off-setting key U.S. vulnerabilities** that are reflected in the Chairman's Risk Assessment (CRA), Integrated Priority Lists (IPLs) or Annual Joint Assessment (AJA)? If so, please describe.

3. Is there potential for this project to contribute to **cost-imposing** strategies that enhance deterrence and impose unacceptable cost on competitors? If so, please describe.

4. Is there potential for this project to **advance U.S. national interests** that are reflected in the National Security Strategy (NSS), National Military Strategy (NMS) or Guidance for Employment of the Force (GEF)? If so, please describe.

5. What is the potential **cost/benefit** for the Department? Please describe the costs and benefits of the proposed solution in addressing the warfighting problem.

6a. What is the requested amount of funding?

6b. Provide estimates on how funds will be allocated. (Ex. CONOPS Development: \$150K, Field Demo: \$450K)

7. Does your organization have past experience leading or participating in field experiments and/or demonstrations prior to this submission? If so, please identify recent efforts and the resulting product(s) and impact.

Statement of Understanding: The project described in this proposal will be considered to receive funding as part of the FY19 Warfighting Lab Incentive Fund (WLIF). All WLIF project proposal periods of performance will not extend beyond a 12 month timeline. Only O&M funding can be used and will expire 12 months after obligation date.

Project Sponsor Action Officer:

Name:

Phone number:

NIPR email:

SIPR email:

Digital Signature

JWICS email:

Project Sponsor O-6 (or equivalent):

Name:

Phone number:

NIPR email:

SIPR email:

Digital Signature

JWICS email:

Enclosures: *Please list any additional enclosures or supplemental material provided.*